

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

12 GENENTECH, INC., ) Case No.: 10-CV-02037-LHK  
13 v. Plaintiff, )  
14 THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ) ORDER SETTING MODIFIED  
15 PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit ) SCHEDULE  
corporation,  
16 Defendant. )  
17

18 Defendant, the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania (the “University”), filed a motion  
19 to modify schedule for expert reports regarding infringement (Doc. No. 406) and a motion to  
20 shorten time (Doc. No. 409) on November 16, 2011. Plaintiff, Genentech, Inc., filed a response on  
21 November 17, 2011. Doc. No. 410. The University filed a reply shortly thereafter. Doc. No. 412.  
22 The Court has reviewed the papers and supporting documentation and finds good cause to modify  
23 the case schedule. In light of the unfortunate and unique circumstances that have arisen, and in an  
24 attempt to mitigate prejudice to both parties, the Court sets the following case schedule.

25 No further modifications to the case schedule will be permitted. Each party will be limited  
26 to *one* 25 page dispositive motion.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

Event	Schedule
Opening Expert Reports by Party with Burden	January 9, 2011
Rebuttal Expert Reports	February 6, 2012
Close of Expert Discovery	February 21, 2012
Opening Briefs on Dispositive Motions	March 1, 2012
Oppositions to Dispositive Motions	March 22, 2012
Replies on Dispositive Motions	April 5, 2012
Hearing on Dispositive Motions	April 19, 2012
Pretrial Conference	May 30, 2012
Trial	June 11, 2012

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: November 17, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
LUCY H. KOH  
United States District Judge